WSU's 2020 Dreissenid Mussel Early Detection Monitoring in the Columbia River

Steve Bollens
Gretchen Rollwagen-Bollens
Julie Zimmerman


School of the Environment and School of Biological Sciences
Washington State University



Location and number of samples collected in 2020

Sampling Location	Number of Net Samples	Number of eDNA Samples
Lake Celilo, WA	40	12
Rowland Lake	40	12
Bingen Harbor	40	12
White Salmon	40	12
White Salmon River at Underwood CUR	40	12
Drano Lake	40	12
Wind River	42	12
Stevenson	41	12
Near Bonneville Lock, Hamilton Recreation area	41	12
Beacon Rock SP	37	12
Washougal Marina	41	12
Vancouver, near I-5 bridge	45	12
Total	487	144

Location and number of samples collected in 2020




Risk assessment data used to direct sampling

Table 21. Water bodies in Washington that have a high to medium relative risk of dreissenid mussel establishment and/or introduction. Risk categories were formulated using best professional judgment. The amount of data used to assign risk categories varied for each water body. Data is summarized in Appendix 1 and II, and risk categories based on one or two data points are flagged with an asterisk. Dreissenids can also establish in areas identified with low to very low risk of establishment.

	[Ca ²⁺]		Relative Risk	Relative Risk
Water Body Name	mg/L	pН	Establishment	Introduction
Moses Lake	30.5	8.18	High	High
Potholes Reservoir outflow	28.3	8.14	High	High
Pend Oreille River	20.1		Medium	High
Lake Washington, inflow	18.8	7.77	Medium	High
Banks Lake	17.8	7.90	Medium	High
Columbia River, Lake Celilo	16.8		Medium	High
Columbia River, Lake Bonneville	16.5	8.11	Medium	High
Clear Lake	16.4	8.47	Medium	High
Williams Lake	20.5	7.39	Medium	Medium
Columbia River, Lake Wanapum	18.1	8.02	Medium	Medium
Lake Cresent	15.9	6.94	Medium	Medium
Nooksack River	12.0	7.57	Low	Medium
Silver Lake	10.4	7.49	Very Low	High
Deer Lake	9.3	7.50	Very Low	High
Cowlitz River	8.1	7.47	Very Low	High
Lake Cushman	11.6	7.55	Very Low	Medium
Diamond Lake	7.5	7.90	Very Low	Medium
Mineral Lake, outflow	5.8	7.64	Very Low	Medium
Alder Lake	5.1	7.45	Very Low	Medium
Cle Elum Reservoir	4.7	7.08	Very Low	Medium
Bumping Reservoir	3.8	7.55	Very Low	Medium

When there were multiple measures of boater use, the measure with the highest risk category was used.

WSU Calcium Data from Summer 2018





In 2017, with generous support from the M.J. Murdock Charitable Trust, WSU Vancouver established the Water Chemistry Facility, a state-of-the-art water chemistry laboratory equipped with an Agilent 7900 ICP MS, a DIONEX Ion Chromatograph, and a Hach Dissolved Organic Carbon and Total Nitrogen Analyzer. Together, these instruments can be used to detect and quantify a broad range of elements and ions. In addition to its own research, the facility also offers its services to researchers, governmental users, and the private sector.







Analytical Capabilities

WSU Vancouver's Water Chemistry Facility is a state-of-the-art water chemistry laboratory equipped with an Agilent 7900 ICP MS, a DIONEX Ion Chromatograph, and a Hach Dissolved Organic Carbon and Total Nitrogen Analyzer. Together, these instruments can be used to detect and quantify a broad range of elements and ions, as summarized in the following table.

List of analytes by instrument

ICPMS Major Elements	Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Ti, Mn, Fe
ICPMS Trace Elements	Ag, As, Au, B, Ba, Be, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Dy, Er, Eu, Gd, Ge, Hf, Ho, Ir, La, Lu, Mn, Mo, Nb, Nd, Ni, P, Pb, Pd, Pr, Pt, Re, Rh, Ru, S, Sc, Sb, Se, Si, Sm, Sn, Ta, Tb, Te, Th, Ti, Tl, Tm, U, V, W, Y, Yb, Zn, Zr
Ion Chromatograph Anions	Fluoride, Chloride, Nitrite, Bromide, Sulfate, Phosphate
Ion Chromatograph Cations	Na+, NH4+, K+, Mg2+, Ca2+
Dissolved Organic Carbon and Total Nitrogen Analyzer	Total organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, dissolved inorganic carbon, total nitrogen, total dissolved nitrogen

Risk of Introduction

High

Risk of Risk of Introduction **Establishment**

> Medium High

Risk of Introduction

Risk of **Establishment**

Potential Economic Impacts

High

Medium

Extremely High

Risk of Introduction

Risk of **Establishment**

Potential Economic Impacts

Potential Ecological Impacts

High

Medium

Extremely High Extremely High

Allocation of samples by sampling method

		WATER BODY			
FIELD COLLECTION METHOD	LABORATORY ANALYSIS METHOD	THE DALLES RESERVOIR	BONNEVILLE RESERVOIR	"LOWER" COLUMBIA	TOTAL
Plankton tow	CPLM Microscopy	40	283	164	487
Water sample	eDNA	12	84	48	144
Plankton tow	FlowCam	12	24	12	48

Results of 2020 Surveys: Dreissenid Veligers

The Good News!

FIELD COLLECTION METHOD	LABORATORY ANALYSIS METHOD	THE DALLES RESERVOIR	BONNEVILLE RESERVOIR	"LOWER" COLUMBIA	TOTAL
Plankton tow	CPLM Microscopy	0	0	0	0
Water sample	eDNA	60% complete	60% complete	60% complete	O thus far
Plankton tow	FlowCam	60% complete	60% complete	60% complete	O thus far

What went well and what posed difficulties?

Everything continues to go "as smooth as silk" WSU has been doing this for many years now, so we are a "well-oiled machine."

COVID slowed down the processing of the eDNA samples slightly, but did not significantly affect any other aspect of our work.

Plans and outlook for 2021

Little will be changed:

- Bi-weekly sampling, May-October
- Similar suite of 12 stations in the lower CR
- Overall, we propose a comparable level of effort in 2021 vs. recent years